VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE PLEASANT PRAIRIE VILLAGE BOARD PLEASANT PRAIRIE WATER UTILITY LAKE MICHIGAN SEWER UTILITY DISTRICT SEWER UTILITY DISTRICT "D" 9915 39th Avenue Pleasant Prairie, WI November 3, 2008 6:30 p.m.

A Regular Meeting of the Pleasant Prairie Village Board was held on Monday, November 3, 2008. Meeting called to order at 6:30 p.m. Present were Village Board members John Steinbrink, Monica Yuhas, Steve Kumorkiewicz, Clyde Allen and Mike Serpe. Also present were Mike Pollocoff, Village Administrator; Peggy Herrick, Assistant Village Planner; Tom Shircel, Assistant Village Planner; and Jane Romanowski, Village Clerk.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. ROLL CALL

4. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Bob Babcock:

Bob Babcock, 11336 Lakeshore Drive. Something I go over and over again about is the Carol Beach Unit 2 ditching project. This year the Village Board decided to pursue it again even though the vast majority of the Unit 2 property owners were against it a couple of years ago. The Village hired Hey & Associates to put the final plan together. I talked to the Senior Vice President and offered my input to them because I have been involved since the beginning of the water problems. He seemed very eager to tour the area with me and see firsthand where the major problem areas lie.

His assistant called me a couple days later to start to make arrangements. I told him that the Village Engineer had also said that he would like to go on a tour of the area and maybe we could all do it at the same time. He seemed to like that idea and said he would get with Mr. Spence but that was the last time I heard anything from Hey & Associates. Mr. Spence didn't call me either. When I had talked to him about it he said he had lost my phone number which I had to give to him again. He did call a few days later and said he wanted to wait until the surveying was finished, and then he said and now I couldn't say that he didn't call me.

We had four or five more conversations over the next few weeks where he came up with excuse after excuse such as the surveyor wasn't done yet, the surveyor was still putting things together, he was busy on other projects, etc. A few weeks ago I heard from a very reliable source that the project was a done deal, all the permits were in place and the work would start in the spring. About three weeks ago I asked Mr. Spence if that was true and he said no, that's how rumors get started, but then he backtracked a little bit and said that a preliminary plan had been submitted to him and that he hadn't even looked at it yet. But now I hear that it has been finished and submitted to the DNR for their blessing. It's obvious that the Village does not want any citizen input. Mr. President, Trustees and Mr. Pollocoff, I would like for each of you to answer a question. Do you believe that Mr. Spence handled his dealings with me in a proper, professional manner and in a way that all Village employees should handle their responses to citizens? Or, do you think that his responses to me were just one bold face lie after another bold face lie. And I'm anxious to hear your answers. Thank you.

Dick Ginkowski:

Good evening. Dick Ginkowski, 7022 51st Avenue. Tomorrow really is Election Day, and I say that because I am unfortunately one of the early voters. My official duties tomorrow will probably make it impossible for me legitimately to not be present to vote. And I say that because we have had a huge increase in the number of early voters, many of whom I suspect did so more out of convenience than out of necessity. And I want to make a few observations about that. I actually feel somewhat sad about that quite frankly. One of the greatest advantages that we have as Americans is the ability to go to the polls on Election Day and vote. And even though it's a private act in terms of what our ballot is, it's also a communal act with our neighbors and the people that are there, and I think sometimes the early voting process deprives us of some of that experience. But maybe it just transfers to another date and time. What do I know?

But the one thing that I do know is what I've observed both here and elsewhere, and I've been an election observer on one respect or another for many years as a candidate, as a public official, as a journalist, as a police officer. I've been involved in elections for decades, and I am uniformly impressed with the people who facilitate them, the clerks, the poll workers, many of whom are paid next to nothing and work these long hours and live for the experience of doing this at every election. I have the utmost respect. Almost without exception they perform their jobs diligently and with the heart of facilitating our democracy and they don't get enough credit.

Similarly, one of the problems associated with this early voting is the impact that it has on municipal budgets. At our Village Hall alone we had three people that I saw, very efficient, doing a very good job, very responsive to people, but I'm sure they had other duties to do. The elongated election process is a burden I suspect on many municipalities in this State. We always had absentee balloting but not to the level where people were standing in lines just to vote an absentee ballot.

And at some point I suspect that the League of Municipalities and the Alliance of Cities needs to calculate what the cost of this early voting has been and whether or not municipalities in this State ought to bear it alone. I think it's only fair to expect that if it's going to turn into early balloting as opposed to absentee balloting that indeed and in fact there needs to be an evaluation of this. I can barely, and I've talked to many clerks around the State who are having difficulty getting their other duties done because they're having to pull people away to handle the early voting. So I would encourage the Board to calculate what the cost has been and to take this issue up further, because if it's going to become an elongated election process then the question is should municipalities have to burden this financial cost, bear this financial burden on their own and probably not.

That said, I do wish to express also great appreciation for what I saw here as I mentioned earlier. I think our Clerk and the Village staff work very hard to get people in, to be friendly, to be courteous, to get the lines moving and to facilitate people voting. That's the whole purpose of what they do. They don't really get a lot of appreciation for that. They just do that job, but it's one that I think that a lot of us take for granted and shouldn't. And I give them my personal appreciation for what they do. Thank you.

Jane Romanowski:

There are no other sign ups.

John Steinbrink:

Anyone else wishing to speak under citizens' comments? Hearing none, I'll close citizens' comments.

Mike Serpe:

Mike Spence, since you've been here a short time, I for one have been very pleased with the work that you have provided to this Village and to the citizens. As I recall, on one of the hearings on the Carol Beach project a speaker got up to the microphone, called this project in so many words stupid. And when he said that he looked right at you. I didn't agree with it that night, I don't agree with it now and, Mike, you just stay the course. You're doing the job that this Village expects you to do.

John Steinbrink:

Maybe we should clarify some of the points made by the speaker also. Mike, could you tell us how many years, how many number of public hearings and approximately how many dollars are spent on this project, and the repeated call for the project to go forward down there?

Mike Pollocoff:

I can't remember the exact number of years but we're into the hundreds of thousands of dollars that have been spent. This has been the most designed and redesigned system that we have in the Village. I think that one of the things that has been difficult for some people to grasp is there's a threshold, and the statutes provide that before we assess anybody that we need to conduct a public hearing, get everybody's input on what they think about the assessment, the project and get their input. But it doesn't create a free-for-all for everybody to design the system. It's an avenue for people to describe the problems they have, the problems they don't have if they don't feel like they're benefitting from the project, and with that information we move forward and we get the project designed.

One of the main problems we've had with this system is that we've had engineers from different firms engaged to solve the problem of drainage within this sub basin of Tobin Creek, and we've had a request and statements to redesign it to run it a different way, to make it drain someplace, to

drain it in different patterns, and that's what led us to this situation that we have there to begin with. There wasn't an improved drainage plan for that subdivision when it was created.

I don't have any problem at all with the work that Mike has done on this project. I think the comments are-the citizen comments are unfortunate. His responsibility to the Village is to ensure that the taxpayers' dollars and the people who are going to be paying their share of this assessment are spent judiciously and to the extent that it solves a specific engineering problem within the parameters that we have to work with and that's what he's doing. I think Mr. Babcock knows more than some of us might know, but I know that him and some other residents have filed with the DNR to conduct a hearing minus the design being done on the permit.

We've got to review those plans, make sure that Mike is in agreement with them, that we feel that the engineers addressed the issues that we have, that it matches up with the assessment schedule. If there are any changes, we'll have to call that back and make modifications if necessary. And it will go to the DNR and they'll give us our permit then we would let it for construction. But what we're not doing is we're not having a situation where non-engineers are designing a very difficult drainage situation that is requiring a lot of engineering horsepower to get this area drained in an appropriate manner and not do it in a way that's going to be cost prohibitive.

We've had repeated petitions from that area. We've acted on those petitions, we've prepared plans, and virtually every petition that's come back to the Village has said we don't think this drains right. You could drain this over here, you could drain this over there, we shouldn't have to pay, we shouldn't pay all of it. For the people that have been around, I think if you look back in the minutes that's really what we've heard. My counsel to the Village Board at their last meeting was we're faced with a problem down there and it's not going to get solved without some significant engineering work and it's going to have to be done by someone who has got the license and put their stamp on the plans. That's where we're at.

Not getting back, I don't think the plans have been–I know they haven't been completed. Mike is still reviewing them, and when he's done with them then we're going to sit down with the engineer again and review them and have that final look at it before it goes to the DNR for their approval. I know DNR has been involved with the design engineer on an ongoing basis as they've been preparing the plans and reviewing them.

I echo Trustee Serpe's comments. I think Mike in his time here has done an excellent job. He's worked well and I have no problems with his work on this project or any other projects that he's undertaken for the Village. The shortest answer is there's been a lot of money spent and a lot of time really with no productive results up until we've gotten this project on its way.

Clyde Allen:

Just a quick question, Mike. For the record, you mentioned there was no drainage plan in place when the subdivision was created?

Mike Pollocoff:

No.

Clyde Allen:

When was that subdivision created?

Mike Pollocoff:

It ranges from the '50s back to the '20s.

Clyde Allen:

Long before any of us.

Mike Pollocoff:

We were a Town then and we were under Kenosha.

Clyde Allen:

Yes, then we were a Village.

Mike Pollocoff:

Basically it's a great looking plat on a piece of land that should probably all things being equal today would never be approved. So we're living with some limitations and we're doing the best that we can with that situation. Everybody knows what they're dealing with as far as when they build in that are and move into that area. It's not your normal subdivision.

Clyde Allen:

Thank you.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Allow me to add something to that. It was in the '40s and '50s . . . there was a realtor with the office on Sheridan Road between the nursing home and what was then the Leadership of Joe Shaffron. He's the one who sold all the property supported by the County Board at the time. We were under County ordinance because we were a township. No plan was approved, nothing, just plat and that was it. And the Carol Beach comes from his daughter. . . . daughter's name was Carol. I understand that's where the subdivision came from. But as far as I know never any proper plan done with that. Thank you.

John Steinbrink:

Bottom line is, Mike, you're doing a good job.

5. **PUBLIC HEARING**

A. Consider "Class A" Liquor License and Class "A" Fermented Malt Beverage Application for the New Target Store located at 9777 76th Street.

Jane Romanowski:

Melissa Van Beek, agent for Target Store, has submitted the application for the Class A fermented malt beverage and the intoxicating liquor license for the new store that just opened out on 76th Street. As my memo states, this is for the sale of intoxicating liquor and fermented malt beverages to consumers only in original package for off premise consumption. As you can see by what I've attached to the memo, Target has provided quite a bit of information. A little scenario on how they plan to, if approved, manage the sale of beverages. They've put a little map of the store to let you know where they're located. Then they've also put a listing of 830 stores in the Unites States - Target Stores that currently sell alcohol. Although I haven't seen it in our area, as you can see by the list it has been a practice of theirs for quite a while.

Everything has been submitted to the Police Department. All the training requirements have been satisfied. Publication, residency, Police Department checks came back good. So basically from my standpoint the application is in order and, if approved, it would just be subject to license fees, publication fee and number three doesn't exist. Number three is not applicable. Target owns the store so they don't need a lease so number three on the memo is not pertinent. With that it's a public hearing if anybody has got any questions or comments.

John Steinbrink:

This being a public hearing I'll open it up to public comment or question. We ask that you use the microphone and give us your name and address for the record. Anyone wishing to speak on this item?

Dick Ginkowski:

Thank you. Dick Ginkowski, 7022 51st Avenue. I'm just somewhat concerned. Although Target Corporation is a well established corporation, very cognizant in many respects of its public image nationwide, one question that I think is unanswered is whether or not this is a precedent that the Village wants to set. Those of us old enough to remember Shakey's on Green Bay Road, they had a very interesting sign that said Shakey's made a deal with the bank, Shakey's doesn't cash checks and the bank doesn't make pizza. And realistically speaking I'm not so sure that Target is the place that you want to look for, any department store of that nature, as an outlet for alcoholic beverages. It does maintain a somewhat limited grocery. They have a mini grocery store inside the new Target. It doesn't sell a lot of fresh groceries but at least things that can be brought in from the outside.

So the question I think really comes down to not so much whether or not the Board should approve yes or no on the basis of the application, but rather I think on the larger question of do we want other retailers coming into the community and saying, well, you know you gave Target the liquor license, shouldn't I be entitled to the same consideration? I'm not sure the answer to that question is already out there. I suspect this is something that may require a little more soul searching and a little more thinking as to whether or not this is a necessary thing for the community as a whole. And, secondly, is this a precedent that the Board wants to set that any retailer who comes in, a general department store type of retailer, should be selling alcohol.

It does seem to be somewhat although not entirely disingenuous with their image and with the image of what we think department store retailers maybe ought to be. Maybe I'm wrong on this. But I suggest that maybe it needs a little further study not just for the number of Targets that have them but does this set a precedent that other retailers can come in and say–Dick's Sporting Goods, I want to have a liquor license. Best Buy I'd like to have one. Is this really what we're looking for in terms of a future direction? That's the only comment I make on it, not passing merit on whether Target itself should be singled out yes or no. But, more importantly, I think you have to look at the overall question of what direction does the Board wish to set for the future. Thank you.

John Steinbrink:

Anyone else wishing to speak on this item? Anyone else wishing to speak on this item? Anyone else wishing to speak on this item? Hearing none I will close the public hearing and open it up to Board comment or question. First off, Jane, I see they gave us a listing and it's roughly 840 other Target stores are doing this same application in their stores?

Jane Romanowski:

Yes, and they've detailed out quite good how they're going to operate and how they have operated. And I didn't add that this is all approved through the Community Development Department as well and is zoned properly.

John Steinbrink:

I don't see anybody here from Target Corporation. That's unfortunate because they could probably have answered a lot of questions.

Jane Romanowski:

They said they were going to be here.

John Steinbrink:

And they have quite a staff. It's a team effort there which is kind of remarkable when you see how they operate their facility and it's very well operated.

Jane Romanowski:

They did indicate a representative would be here.

John Steinbrink:

Other comments or questions from Board members?

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I have a question for Jane. How many licenses have we got in the Village?

Jane Romanowski:

What kind of license, like this?

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

(Inaudible)

Jane Romanowski:

We have one Class A intoxicating liquor and that was the one that you approved for the Cellar Door Wine. Otherwise all of the licenses we have are the Class A fermented and those are the only Class A licenses we have in the Village.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

(Inaudible)

Jane Romanowski:

No.

Clyde Allen:

Being that it's not a surprise that this was going to come before us, that it was indicated in the past, the zoning is proper for it, they submitted a good list that they do that at a lot of their stores, I make a motion to approve.

Mike Serpe:

I'll second with a comment.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Mike. Comment by Mike.

Mike Serpe:

When these big box stores come forward they do so with the idea that they have to compete in the market, and this is one of the competitive areas that they have to compete in. And for us to support them wholeheartedly, and like Clyde said this is no surprise that this is coming forward, I think we would be a little remiss in denying them a license at this point. And when Pick 'n Save across the street and a little bit east of them and Woodman's and Super Wal-Marts are going up all with the same licenses, the competition is there. I think if we're going to invite these people into the community we have to allow them to compete.

John Steinbrink:

I think Dick has good insight into this and his concerns are well noted. When they have a cashier under the legal age checking out there then they have to call somebody else over is that correct, Jane?

Jane Romanowski:

I'll be honest I haven't looked at this for about a week and a half because I've been working on other things.

John Steinbrink:

That would probably be the procedure they'd do as in the grocery stores.

Jane Romanowski:

They have their computers programs so I'm sure whatever they plan on doing, and again, like I say I haven't caught up with it.

John Steinbrink:

That was the case with Jewel that somebody came forward with an underage cashier or a supervisor.

Jane Romanowski:

They take this very seriously. I've talked to corporate quite a few times to find out, and that's why I told them to put a little scenario down so you guys could see that they know how to do this. With the 800 and some other stores they've got the system down I'm sure.

John Steinbrink:

Motion and a second. Any further discussion?

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

They asked me for identification when I go to buy some. They are very tight.

ALLEN MOVED TO APPROVE THE "CLASS A" LIQUOR LICENSE AND CLASS "A" FERMENTED MALT BEVERAGE APPLICATION FOR THE NEW TARGET STORE LOCATED AT 9777 76TH STREET SUBJECT TO STAFF COMMENTS; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

6. NEW BUSINESS

A. Consider Amendment to the Development Agreement for the Springbrook Place Condominiums.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, we have a proposed amendment to the Springbrook Place Condominium Development Agreement. The developers have asked that the Village consider moving their completion deadlines ahead one year. They were scheduled to have one done this year, one building this, and then one building each year thereafter. They've asked to move that ahead given the condition of the housing market that exists.

We did a specific time frame within the development agreement. They've agreed, and this will not change their cash out period on the TID. They're going to have to eat that loss. We're not going to extend that time frame along with it. So they'll have one less year to be able to recuperate any TID revenues from the development. With that being said, I'd recommend that we adopt the proposed amendment which sets their first building to be completed by no later than December 31, 2009, and then each building one year thereafter.

Mike Serpe:

Mr. Chairman, I move approval, and I certainly hope that in one year they can get this project started.

Monica Yuhas:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Monica. Further discussion on this item?

Clyde Allen:

Just a quick point of clarification. When Mr. Mills came before us maybe two months ago wasn't this request here, a correspondence to do this?

Mike Pollocoff:

Yes.

John Steinbrink:

Further discussion, comment or question?

SERPE MOVED TO APPROVE AN AMENDMENT TO THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT FOR THE SPRINGBROOK PLACE CONDOMINIUMS AS PRESENTED; SECONDED BY YUHAS; MOTION CARIED 5-0.

B. Consider Ordinance #08-54 to amend Section 305-69 of the Village Municipal Code related to curb cuts.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, I'm recommending that the Village Ordinances be amended to eliminate the ability to cut curb backs off of curb sections. We allowed that probably ten years ago where we'd bring in a saw and they would cut the back of the curb off. And our ordinance prior to that time was to require anybody that wanted to have a curb at a driveway to lift that section of curb out and repour it with the depressed area with the drive and butt up against existing joints. One of the things that we did is required people that did saw cut the curb expose the aggregate on the concrete that they would have to sign an indemnification that would be placed on their property forever, that they would pay for the restoration of those curbs.

Now we're at the point where ten years down the road and the curbs are failing that were saw cut. So for those people who did save some money by getting it just cut with a saw, they'll be having to take and fix those up. But it's my recommendation we've seen that this hasn't worked. When you expose that aggregate to concrete and you get salt on it and you get some freeze thaw it starts busting up. What happens is the gutters and the curbs aren't holding together anymore.

So with this ordinance amendment we would be saying that there would be no more cuts allowed. If somebody wants to modify their driveway approach they would need to pull that curb section out that they're having problems with and pour a new one. Four years ago we modified our mountable curb sections so it's got a lot less rise on it so it's a little bit easier to work with. The closest subdivision that I could think of where we would have that is Meadowdale, subdivisions down on 39th Avenue. And a lot of developers have been putting that into their covenants also not permitting people to cut their curbs, saying that if they do want to modify the existing curb structure that they need to pull the section and re-pour it.

Basically we're putting the expansion joints, and this is a necessity to do this, we're taking that out of the Wisconsin Department of Transportation standards for what they require on an urban section when you're pulling a curb out and modifying it. We've incorporated that into our Village specs as well. So I'd be requesting that we eliminate under 305-69 Section B in it's entirety and just go with the pouring curb when required.

John Steinbrink:

This goes back I remember the videos of John Cable in your words saying when you expose the aggregate you're doomed to fail and, of course, you're right.

Clyde Allen:

I make a motion to approve Ordinance 08-54.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Steve. Any further discussion on this item?

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Yes, the question that isn't too clear, Mike, how deep is going to be this section of the driveway and the approach? How deep is it going to be?

Mike Pollocoff:

How deep?

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Yes, is it going to be the . . . or what?

Mike Pollocoff:

We're not changing the curb profile at all.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

No, the section of the

Mike Pollocoff:

It's going to be up to the homeowner how far they want to-it depends on the grade of their driveway how much they have to lay it back to the depth. So it will be a gutter elevation right between the pan and the back of the curb, and that will be at the elevation of the gutter. And then depending on how much slope there is coming back to the driveway will depend on where they go back to. And then the flange against the asphalt is a fixed point.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

So it's going to be fixed, okay. We talked about that I remember years ago.

John Steinbrink:

Usually at this time there's other work going on at the same time once they're cutting the curb. They're doing an approach so it is the proper time to do it.

Mike Pollocoff:

Right. If they're doing a driveway anyway and they want a different approach they can bring it out to the curb and do that.

ALLEN MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE #08-54 TO AMEND SECTION 305-69 OF THE VILLAGE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATED TO CURB CUTS; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

C. Consent Agenda

1) Approve Bartender License Application on file.

Monica Yuhas:

Motion to approve.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

YUHAS MOVED TO APPROVE THE BARTENDER LICENSE FOR JANDELL L. JENSEN; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

7. VILLAGE BOARD COMMENTS

Mike Serpe:

First, I want to personally thank Jane Romanowski for the job that she's been doing this past few weeks. I don't know how she keeps her sanity with everything that's happening. She's doing this pretty much by herself with the help of a couple employees that are working in the Village Hall. But it's a monumental job. The absentee balloting I just happened to walk past the room when Jane was locking up and I looked inside and I couldn't believe the amount of ballots that were already cast. I've never seen anything like this. And all these have to be fed into the machine starting tomorrow at some point in time. Anyway, people like Jane are definitely appreciated. Jane, great job. And, Mike, happy birthday.

Clyde Allen:

I want to congratulate the Chief and the Fire and Rescue Department on a great event. It really was a terrific, terrific event. Anybody that missed it missed a good time and a very successful event. So congratulations, Chief. That was a very good event.

I want to make a comment about Mike not just because it's your birthday. I started getting involved and doing my homework and reading. Once again, comments that you have echoed from the past about things that need to be done, your knowledge and ability about municipalities, about every department within municipalities is just indescribable. It's quite amazing as to what you know and your knowledge and what it gives us. Once again, we showed here tonight with the ordinance that came before us that you gave the warning and it came true. So keep up the good word, Mike.

Mike Pollocoff:

Thank you. I hate to be the bearer of bad news.

John Steinbrink:

Chief, I just want to commend the department on the job you did today. For those that didn't know the neighbor's cornfield caught on fire. I believe one of the trucks may have set a spark out there. And if you knew what today was like it was 70 degrees, a brisk south wind. The corn was tinder dry. It looked a lot like a California wildfire coming across that field. The coordination with our department, Newport, the other departments, the equipment that came out there, the rigs to get out into that field really prevented it from spreading and being a lot worse than it was. I'm sure Mr. Sorenson is very appreciative of your work. It's kind of nice having hydrants on Bain Road there I saw where we could actually get water in an area where there was no water at one time. A little while longer I guess it would have been to the hydrants and we could have fought it from the road. But you guys did a great job. To see all those grass trucks out there and the men and the women toting those hoses around putting out the corn, a lot of people wondered if it was going to be popping or not, and I had to explain to them it wasn't popcorn and it wasn't going to happen. No, it wasn't sweet corn and it won't be roasted. I straightened those issues out for a lot of folks. So thank you to your folks.

Mike Serpe:

I've got to follow this up, John. Paul, were you out there today? Wasn't the most impressive piece of fire equipment his tractor with the tiller creating a fire break? Didn't he drive right through the fire at one point?

(Inaudible)

John Steinbrink:

It's not every day I get to drive through the neighbor's corn with a disk so it's kind of exciting. I asked Mr. McElmury how fast I had to drive so the tractor didn't burn as I was driving through the fire. He kind of looked at me and said he didn't think there was a speed. But he was impressed riding in the fire.

Mike Serpe:

And your tractor is red.

John Steinbrink:

It's already burned.

8. ADJOURNMENT

Monica Yuhas:

Motion to adjourn.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

You didn't do anything this week?

Monica Yuhas:

I did actually.

John Steinbrink:

Let's hear about it.

Monica Yuhas:

Pack 544 from Prairie Lane Elementary came to the Village Hall on Friday. I and Assistant Chief Doug McElmury took them on a two and a half hour tour of the Village. And I do have to say I think they almost liked the government as much as they liked the fire department, because they spent a lot of time up here and they enjoyed the maps and seeing the different departments. So that's what I did this week.

John Steinbrink:

Bill, for your information Monica since being on the Board has worked in every department in the Village whether it's been driving a bulldozer, shoveling something, climbing water towers, and that's why we asked her what she's done this week, because she has really been out there and learned what the employees of the Village do. She can relate to that and it gives her a lot more expertise when it comes budget time or when any citizen asks any question.

(Inaudible)

John Steinbrink:

Motion and a second to adjourn.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

One comment I want to make. Monica I'm glad to see in that Cub Scout pack I was Assistant Scout Master from 1976 to 1980 in 544. So I knew that pack very well. I'm glad you got a chance to work with them.

YUHAS MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 5-0 AND MEETING ADJOURNED AT 7:00 P.M.